

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,599	.599 01/27/2004		Yoshiko Hoshiyama	Q79583	6154	
23373	7590	07/21/2006		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE	-		UHLENHAKE, JASON S			
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037				2853	- · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/764,599	HOSHIYAMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jason Uhlenhake	2853		

	Jason Uhlenhake	2853	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS	but animate the date of filling a baiet	will not be outpred b	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:</li> </ol>		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	No(s)	
an Uhlahal	k	FEGGINS 1/0	rı
1 7/12/06	PRIM	ARY EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The application will need further consideration and an updated search due the new issue raised concerning determining whether or not to form the adjustment pattern again, based on the adjustment pattern that as been formed.